Case.net: 20SL-CC00477 - Docket Entries



Search for Cases by:

Select Search Method...

Judicial Links

eFiling

Help

| Contact Us | Print

GrantedPublicAccess Logoff BETHBOGGS67

20SL-CC00477 - DEBORAH BARROW V WAL-MART STORES EAST I, LP (E-CASE

Case Header

Parties & Attorneys Docket Entries

Charges, Judgments & Sentences

Service Information

Filings Due

Scheduled Hearings & Trials

Civil **Judgments** Garnishments/ Execution

This information is provided as a service and is not considered an official court record.

C	lick	he	re	to	eF	ile	on	Cas	e

Click here to Respond to Selected Documents

Sort Date Entries: Descending Display Options:

Ascending

All Entries

03/05/2020 Agent Served

Document ID - 20-SMCC-1851; Served To - WAL-MART STORES EAST I, LP; Server - CT CORP; Served Date - 05-MAR-20; Served Time - 00:00:00; Service Type - Territory 30; Reason Description -

Served; Service Text - LC

03/02/2020 Judge Assigned

CAUSE REASSIGNED TO JUDGE JASON D DODSON, FOR HEARING AND DETERMINATION EFFECTIVE MARCH 2, 2020 PER ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER

02/27/2020 Summons Issued-Circuit

Document ID: 20-SMCC-1851, for WAL-MART STORES EAST I, LP.Summons Attached in PDF Form

for Attorney to Retrieve from Secure Case. Net and Process for Service.

02/21/2020 Summ Reg-Circuit Pers Serv

Summons Request.

Filed By: BRYAN JOSEPH SANGER

01/27/2020 Petition:

Petition for Damages signed by Attorney.

Filed By: BRYAN JOSEPH SANGER On Behalf Of: DEBORAH BARROW

Judge/Clerk - Note

NO SUMMONS ISSUED DUE TO MISSING ATTORNEY'S SIGNATURE ON THE PETITION FILED. YOUR PETITION MUST BE SIGNED WITH A PHYSICAL SIGNATURE OR AN ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE. E-FILE AN AMENDED PETITION INCLUDING THE ATTORNEY'S PHYSICAL OR ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE SO THE SUMMONS CAN BE ISSUED. EXAMPLE OF A CORRECT

ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE SHOWN BELOW. - /S/ (ATTY'S NAME)

01/16/2020 Filing Info Sheet eFiling

Filed By: BRYAN JOSEPH SANGER

Pet Filed in Circuit Ct

Petition.

On Behalf Of: DEBORAH BARROW

Judge Assigned

DIV₂

20SL-CC00477

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY STATE OF MISSOURI

DEBORAH BARROW,)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Cause No.
VS.)	
)	Division No.
WAL-MART STORES EAST I, LP)	
)	
SERVE: Registered Agent)	
CT Corporation System)	Personal Injury
120 South Central Ave.)	In Excess of \$25,000.00
Clayton, MO 63105)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
)	
Defendant.	Ś	

PETITION FOR DAMAGES

COMES NOW, Plaintiff Deborah Barrow, by and through her attorneys, Mandel, Mandel, Marsh, Sudekum & Sanger, and for her cause of action against Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East I, LP, states as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiff Deborah Barrow (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is a resident and citizen of the state of Missouri.
- That at all material times herein, Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East I, LP (hereinafter "Defendant"), is a Delaware corporation, duly authorized and existing under law to do business in the State of Missouri.
- 3. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant owned, managed, maintained, and operated the retail store facility located at 11900 St. Charles Rock Rd, Bridgeton, MO 63044, Missouri, Store #1188, wherein Plaintiff Deborah Barrow slipped and was severely injured.

- 3. Jurisdiction and venue are proper in this Court pursuant to R.S.Mo. §508.010.4, as the negligent acts of the Defendant complained of herein occurred at said property in St. Louis County, State of Missouri.
- 4. That on or about June 16, 2019, the Plaintiff was a business invitee, lawfully on Defendant's premises to shop at its store located thereon. Said premises are located at 11900 St. Charles Rock Rd, Bridgeton, MO 63044, Missouri, Store #1188.
- That at all material times, Defendant by and through its agents, servants or employees owned, maintained and operated the property located at 11900 St. Charles Rock Rd, Bridgeton, MO 63044, Missouri, Store #1188.
- 6. That at all times mentioned herein, Defendant, acting through its agents and employees, owed a duty to use ordinary care so as not to cause harm to those on the premises.
- 7. That on said date Plaintiff was a business invitee inside the aforementioned Wal-Mart retail store, when she was caused to slip on wet flooring in the store entrance vestibule, due to the negligence and carelessness of Defendant.
- 8. That the wetness on the vestibule floor that was permitted to exist and created an dangerous and defective condition, and as a result the premises were not reasonably safe.
- 9. That Plaintiff's fall and resulting injuries were caused by the carelessness and negligence of Defendant and/or its employees, agents and/ or servants in one or more of the following particulars:
 - (a) Defendant, by and through its agents, servants and employees, knew or had actual or constructive knowledge of the dangerous and foreseeable condition created by water on said floor of the aforesaid premises; and
 - (b) Defendant, by and through its agents, servants and employees,

failed to inspect said area and/or discover said dangerous defective condition; and

- (c) Defendant, by and through its agent's servants and employees, failed to barricade said dangerous defective condition and/or warn the public and particularly Plaintiff of said dangerous defective condition; and
- (d) Defendant knew or should have known that the water on the floor created a dangerous condition and thereby created a reasonable risk of injury to persons such as Plaintiff; and
- (e) Defendant, by and through its agents, servants and employees, failed to use ordinary care to make the area reasonably safe; remove and/or otherwise remedy said dangerous defective condition; and
- (f) Defendant, by and through its agents, servants and employees, failed to follow policies and procedures to prevent or remediate the dangerous defective condition;
- (g) Defendant, by and through its agents, servants and employees, failed to properly train and/or supervise employees;
- (h) Other matters of negligence to be documented throughout discovery and established at trial.
- 10. That as a direct and proximate result of one or more of the aforementioned acts of negligence by the Defendant, Plaintiff slipped and fell and was injured.
- 11. That as a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence and carelessness of Defendant, and or its employees, agents, and/or servants of the Defendant, Plaintiff was caused to sustain injuries to her left hip requiring a hip replacement, and

injuries to her head, neck, back, and other parts of her body, and the bones, joints, muscles, tendons, tissues, nerves, membranes, ligaments, and skin and parts thereof were seriously bruised, contused, sprained, strained, and made painful. That Plaintiff's ability to work, labor, and enjoy life has been and will be impaired. Plaintiff's injuries are serious, painful and disabling, and will continue to be so in the future.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Deborah Barrow, prays for judgment against Defendant Wal-Mart Stores I, LP, in a fair and reasonable amount, in excess of \$25,000.00 (Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars); costs expended herein, and any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

MANDEL, MANDEL, MARSH, SUDEKUM & SANGER

By: Bryan J. Sanger
BRYAN J. SANGER, #60210
1010 Market Street, Eighth Floor
Saint Louis, Missouri 63101
(314) 621-1701 (Telephone)
(314) 621-4800 (Facsimile)
bryan@mandelmandel.com
Counsel for Plaintiff

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY STATE OF MISSOURI

DEBORAH BARROW,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Cause No.
VS.)	
)	Division No.
WAL-MART STORES EAST I, LP)	
)	
SERVE: Registered Agent)	
CT Corporation System)	Personal Injury
120 South Central Ave.)	In Excess of \$25,000.00
Clayton, MO 63105)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
- 039)	
Defendant.	j	

PETITION FOR DAMAGES

COMES NOW, Plaintiff Deborah Barrow, by and through her attorneys, Mandel, Mandel, Marsh, Sudekum & Sanger, and for her cause of action against Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East I, LP, states as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiff Deborah Barrow (hereinafter "Plaintiff") is a resident and citizen of the state of Missouri.
- That at all material times herein, Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East I, LP (hereinafter "Defendant"), is a Delaware corporation, duly authorized and existing under law to do business in the State of Missouri.
- 3. At all times relevant hereto, Defendant owned, managed, maintained, and operated the retail store facility located at 11900 St. Charles Rock Rd, Bridgeton, MO 63044, Missouri, Store #1188, wherein Plaintiff Deborah Barrow slipped and was severely injured.

- 3. Jurisdiction and venue are proper in this Court pursuant to R.S.Mo.
 §508.010.4, as the negligent acts of the Defendant complained of herein occurred at said property in St. Louis County, State of Missouri.
- 4. That on or about June 16, 2019, the Plaintiff was a business invitee, lawfully on Defendant's premises to shop at its store located thereon. Said premises are located at 11900 St. Charles Rock Rd, Bridgeton, MO 63044, Missouri, Store #1188.
- That at all material times, Defendant by and through its agents, servants or employees owned, maintained and operated the property located at 11900 St. Charles Rock Rd, Bridgeton, MO 63044, Missouri, Store #1188.
- 6. That at all times mentioned herein, Defendant, acting through its agents and employees, owed a duty to use ordinary care so as not to cause harm to those on the premises.
- 7. That on said date Plaintiff was a business invitee inside the aforementioned Wal-Mart retail store, when she was caused to slip on wet flooring in the store entrance vestibule, due to the negligence and carelessness of Defendant.
- 8. That the wetness on the vestibule floor that was permitted to exist and created an dangerous and defective condition, and as a result the premises were not reasonably safe.
- 9. That Plaintiff's fall and resulting injuries were caused by the carelessness and negligence of Defendant and/or its employees, agents and/ or servants in one or more of the following particulars:
 - (a) Defendant, by and through its agents, servants and employees, knew or had actual or constructive knowledge of the dangerous and foreseeable condition created by water on said floor of the aforesaid premises; and
 - (b) Defendant, by and through its agents, servants and employees,

failed to inspect said area and/or discover said dangerous defective condition; and

- (c) Defendant, by and through its agent's servants and employees, failed to barricade said dangerous defective condition and/or warn the public and particularly Plaintiff of said dangerous defective condition; and
- (d) Defendant knew or should have known that the water on the floor created a dangerous condition and thereby created a reasonable risk of injury to persons such as Plaintiff; and
- (e) Defendant, by and through its agents, servants and employees, failed to use ordinary care to make the area reasonably safe; remove and/or otherwise remedy said dangerous defective condition; and
- (f) Defendant, by and through its agents, servants and employees, failed to follow policies and procedures to prevent or remediate the dangerous defective condition;
- (g) Defendant, by and through its agents, servants and employees, failed to properly train and/or supervise employees;
- (h) Other matters of negligence to be documented throughout discovery and established at trial.
- 10. That as a direct and proximate result of one or more of the aforementioned acts of negligence by the Defendant, Plaintiff slipped and fell and was injured.
- 11. That as a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence and carelessness of Defendant, and or its employees, agents, and/or servants of the Defendant, Plaintiff was caused to sustain injuries to her left hip requiring a hip replacement, and

injuries to her head, neck, back, and other parts of her body, and the bones, joints, muscles, tendons, tissues, nerves, membranes, ligaments, and skin and parts thereof were seriously bruised, contused, sprained, strained, and made painful. That Plaintiff's ability to work, labor, and enjoy life has been and will be impaired. Plaintiff's injuries are serious, painful and disabling, and will continue to be so in the future.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Deborah Barrow, prays for judgment against Defendant Wal-Mart Stores I, LP, in a fair and reasonable amount, in excess of \$25,000.00 (Twenty-Five Thousand Dollars); costs expended herein, and any other relief this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

MANDEL, MANDEL, MARSH, SUDEKUM & SANGER

By: _/s/ Bryan J. Sanger BRYAN J. SANGER, #60210 1010 Market Street, Eighth Floor Saint Louis, Missouri 63101 (314) 621-1701 (Telephone) (314) 621-4800 (Facsimile) bryan@mandelmandel.com Counsel for Plaintiff

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY STATE OF MISSOURI

REQU	UEST FOR SUMMONS
Defendant.)
WAL-MART STORES EAST I, LP)
VS.) Division No. 2
Plaintiff,) Cause No. 20SL-CC00477
DEBORAH BARROW,)

Plaintiff requests Summons to be issued upon Defendant Wal-Mart Stores East I, LP:

SERVE:

Registered Agent

CT Corporation System 120 South Central Ave. Clayton, MO 63105

Respectfully submitted,

MANDEL, MANDEL, MARSH, SUDEKUM & SANGER

/s/ Bryan J. Sanger
Bryan J. Sanger, #60210
1010 Market Street, Suite 850

St. Louis, MO 63101 PH: 314-621-1701

FX: 314-621-4800

bryan@mandelmandel.com

CO	0	D	\mathbf{n}		D.			
SO	U	\mathbf{r}	U.	C.	N.	C,	U	



IN THE 21ST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT, ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI

Judge or Division:	Case Number: 20SL-CC00477	
RICHARD M STEWART		
Plaintiff/Petitioner:	Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address	
DEBORAH BARROW	BRYAN JOSEPH SANGER	
	1010 MARKET STREET	
	SUITE 850	QUEDIES SEE
VS.	ST. LOUIS, MO 63101	SHERIFF FEE PAID
Defendant/Respondent:	Court Address:	FAID
WAL-MART STORES EAST I, LP	ST LOUIS COUNTY COURT BUILDING	
Nature of Suit:	105 SOUTH CENTRAL AVENUE	
CC Pers Injury-Other	CLAYTON, MO 63105	(Date File Stamp)

Summons in Civil Case

The State of Missouri to: WAL-MART STORES EAST I, LP Alias:

120 SOUTH CENTRAL AVE CT CORPORATION SYSTEM CLAYTON, MO 63105

COURT SEAL OF



ST. LOUIS COUNTY

You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for Plaintiff/Petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.

SPECIAL NEEDS: If you have special needs addressed by the Americans With Disabilities Act, please notify the Office of the Circuit Clerk at 314-615-8029, FAX 314-615-8739, email at SLCADA@courts.mo.gov, or through Relay Missouri by dialing 711 or 800-735-2966, at least three business days in advance of the court proceeding.

27-FEB-2020

Date

Further Information:

LG

	Sheriff's or Server's Return		
Note to serving off	icer: Summons should be returned to the court within thirty days at	ter the date of issue.	
I certify that I have	served the above summons by: (check one)		
delivering a cor	by of the summons and a copy of the petition to the Defendant/Respo	ondent.	
leaving a copy of permanently re	of the summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or us a person of the Defendant's sides with the Defendant/Respondent.	sual abode of the Defendant/Respondent wi s/Respondent's family over the age of 15 y	
(for service on a	a corporation) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the po	etition to	
	(name)		(title).
	(County/City of St. Louis), MO, on		
Printe	ed Name of Sheriff or Server	Signature of Sheriff or Server	
	Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by a	n authorized officer:	
(Seal)	Subscribed and sworn to before me on	(date).	
(Beat)	My commission expires:		
	Date	Notary Public	

Sheriff's Fees, if applicab	ole			
Summons	\$			
Non Est	\$			
Sheriff's Deputy Salary				
Supplemental Surcharge	\$10.00			
Mileage	\$	miles @ \$.	per mile)	
Total	\$			
A copy of the summons an	nd a copy of the petition m	ust be served on each	Defendant/Respond	lent. For methods of service on all classes of
suits, see Supreme Court R	ule 54.			

THE CIRCUIT COURT OF ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI

Twenty First Judicial Circuit

NOTICE OF ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICES

Purpose of Notice

As a party to a lawsuit in this court, you have the right to have a judge or jury decide your case. However, most lawsuits are settled by the parties before a trial takes place. This is often true even when the parties initially believe that settlement is not possible. A settlement reduces the expense and inconvenience of litigation. It also eliminates any uncertainty about the results of a trial.

Alternative dispute resolution services and procedures are available that may help the parties settle their lawsuit faster and at less cost. Often such services are most effective in reducing costs if used early in the course of a lawsuit. Your attorney can aid you in deciding whether and when such services would be helpful in your case.

Your Rights and Obligations in Court Are Not Affected By This Notice

You may decide to use an alternative dispute resolution procedure if the other parties to your case agree to do so. In some circumstances, a judge of this court may refer your case to an alternative dispute resolution procedure described below. These procedures are not a substitute for the services of a lawyer and consultation with a lawyer is recommended. Because you are a party to a lawsuit, you have obligations and deadlines which must be followed whether you use an alternative dispute resolution procedure or not. IF YOU HAVE BEEN SERVED WITH A PETITION, YOU MUST FILE A RESPONSE ON TIME TO AVOID THE RISK OF DEFAULT JUDGMENT, WHETHER OR NOT YOU CHOOSE TO PURSUE AN ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCEDURE.

Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures

There are several procedures designed to help parties settle lawsuits. Most of these procedures involve the services of a neutral third party, often referred to as the "neutral," who is trained in dispute resolution and is not partial to any party. The services are provided by individuals and organizations who may charge a fee for this help. Some of the recognized alternative dispute resolutions procedures are:

- (1) <u>Advisory Arbitration:</u> A procedure in which a neutral person or persons (typically one person or a panel of three persons) hears both sides and decides the case. The arbitrator's decision is not binding and simply serves to guide the parties in trying to settle their lawsuit. An arbitration is typically less formal than a trial, is usually shorter, and may be conducted in a private setting at a time mutually agreeable to the parties. The parties, by agreement, may select the arbitrator(s) and determine the rules under which the arbitration will be conducted.
- (2) <u>Mediation:</u> A process in which a neutral third party facilitates communication between the parties to promote settlement. An effective mediator may offer solutions that have not been considered by the parties or their lawyers. A mediator may not impose his or her own judgment on the issues for that of the parties.

CCADM73

- (3) <u>Early Neutral Evaluation ("ENE"):</u> A process designed to bring the parties to the litigation and their counsel together in the early pretrial period to present case summaries before and receive a non-binding assessment from an experienced neutral evaluator. The objective is to promote early and meaningful communication concerning disputes, enabling parties to plan their cases effectively and assess realistically the relative strengths and weaknesses of their positions. While this confidential environment provides an opportunity to negotiate a resolution, immediate settlement is not the primary purpose of this process.
- (4) Mini-Trial: A process in which each party and their counsel present their case before a selected representative for each party and a neutral third party, to define the issues and develop a basis for realistic settlement negotiations. The neutral third party may issue an advisory opinion regarding the merits of the case. The advisory opinion is not binding.
- (5) Summary Jury Trial: A summary jury trial is a non binding, informal settlement process in which jurors hear abbreviated case presentations. A judge or neutral presides over the hearing, but there are no witnesses and the rules of evidence are relaxed. After the "trial", the jurors retire to deliberate and then deliver an advisory verdict. The verdict then becomes the starting point for settlement negotiations among the parties.

Selecting an Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedure and a Neutral

If the parties agree to use an alternative dispute resolution procedure, they must decide what type of procedure to use and the identity of the neutral. As a public service, the St. Louis County Circuit Clerk maintains a list of persons who are available to serve as neutrals. The list contains the names of individuals who have met qualifications established by the Missouri Supreme Court and have asked to be on the list. The Circuit Clerk also has Neutral Qualifications Forms on file. These forms have been submitted by the neutrals on the list and provide information on their background and expertise. They also indicate the types of alternative dispute resolution services each neutral provides.

A copy of the list may be obtained by request in person and in writing to: Circuit Clerk, Office of Dispute Resolution Services, 105 South Central Ave., 5th Floor, Clayton, Missouri 63105. The Neutral Qualifications Forms will also be made available for inspection upon request to the Circuit Clerk.

The List and Neutral Qualification Forms are provided only as a convenience to the parties in selecting a neutral. The court cannot advise you on legal matters and can only provide you with the List and Forms. You should ask your lawyer for further information.

CCADM73



IN THE 21ST JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT, ST. LOUIS COUNTY, MISSOURI



Judge or Division: RICHARD M STEWART		Case Number: 20SL-CC00477		i.
Plaintlff/Petitioner: DEBORAH BARROW vs.		Plaintiff's/Petitioner's Attorney/Address BRYAN JOSEPH SANGER 1010 MARKET STREET SUITE 850 ST. LOUIS, MO 63101		SHERIFF FEE
Defendant/Respondent: WAL-MART STORES EAST I, LP		Court Address: ST LOUIS COUNTY COURT BUILDING		4 2000
Nature of Suit: CC Pers Injury-Other		105 SOUTH CENTRAL AVENUE CLAYTON, MO 63105	JUA UIT CLE	n M. Gilmer RK, St. Louisfauurg)

Summons in Civil Case

The State of Missouri to: WAL-MART STORES EAST I, LP

120 SOUTH CENTRAL AVE CT CORPORATION SYSTEM CLAYTON, MO 63105

Alias: 30

COURT SEAL OF



ST. LOUIS COUNTY

You are summoned to appear before this court and to file your pleading to the petition, a copy of which is attached, and to serve a copy of your pleading upon the attorney for Plaintiff/Petitioner at the above address all within 30 days after receiving this summons, exclusive of the day of service. If you fail to file your pleading, judgment by default may be taken against you for the relief demanded in the petition.

SPECIAL NEEDS: If you have special needs addressed by the Americans With Disabilities Act, please notify the Office of the Circuit Clerk at 314-615-8029, FAX 314-615-8739, email at SLCADA@courts.mo.gov, or through Relay Missouri by dialing 711 or 800-735-2966, at least three business days in advance of the court proceeding.

27-FEB-2020 Date

Further Information: Sheriff's or Server's Return Note to serving officer: Summons should be returned to the court within thirty days after the date of issue. I certify that I have served the above summons by: (check one) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to the Defendant/Respondent. leaving a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition at the dwelling place or usual abode of the Defendant/Respondent with a person of the Defendant's/Respondent's family over the age of 15 years who permanently resides with the Defendant/Respondent. corporation) delivering a copy of the summons and a copy of the petition to -a. brandon RPORATION (County/City of St. Louis), MO, on Printed Name of She Signature of Sh Must be sworn before a notary public if not served by an authorized officer: Subscribed and sworn to before me on (Seal) My commission expires: Notary Public